

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/605,847             | HEWITT ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Thomas E. Lazo         | 3745                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Leo H. McCormick (25,897).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2004
**Time:** 1400
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:


none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*An agreement was reached to correct grammatical errors in the specification and claims and to shorten the abstract*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)